¹ Blur remediation in NEAR MSI images

2

D. R. Golish¹, D. N. DellaGiustina¹, K. J. Becker¹, C. A. Bennett¹, M. Robinson², and M. K.
 Crombie³

5 ¹Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

- 6 ²School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA
- 7 ³Indigo Information Services, LLC
- 8
- 9 Corresponding author:
- 10 Dathon R Golish (dgolish@orex.lpl.arizona.edu)
- 11 1415 N. 6th Ave. Tucson, AZ 85705
- 12
- 13 Keywords: Eros, Asteroids, Image processing
- 14
- 15 Abstract

16 Due to contamination on the outer optic of the NEAR-Shoemaker Multispectral Imager (MSI), all surface-17 resolved images of Eros acquired by MSI had wavelength-dependent degradation. The MSI team 18 designed and implemented a preliminary correction for the blur during mission operations and archived 19 the results with the original camera data. While extremely successful, the preliminary correction was 20 less effective for the 450 and 1100 nm passbands. Here we implement a new correction, based on the 21 MSI team's original process, to improve the blur remediation for all MSI filters, particularly those at the 22 extreme wavelengths. The new method improves the effective resolution of the deblurred images over 23 the preliminary remediation for all filters. Moreover, for all filters, our method preserves the 21-39% of 24 the pixels that were lost (or obscured by artifacts) with the preliminary remediation. We apply the new 25 method to the complete MSI dataset of resolved Eros images and archive the results for future scientific 26 use.

27 **1** INTRODUCTION

28 The Near Earth Asteroid Rendezvous – Shoemaker (NEAR; Cheng et al., 1997) spacecraft orbited and

29 studied the surface of asteroid (433) Eros for a year from 14 February 2000 to 12 February 2001. Eros is

- a near-Earth S-type asteroid (Murchie, 1996); it is approximately 34 km long with an 11×11 km cross-
- 31 section (Zuber et al., 2000). NEAR was the first mission to observe an asteroid from orbit and provided a
- 32 broad dataset characterizing Eros's surface in unprecedented detail. Unfortunately, prior to these
- observations, during a failed orbit insertion maneuver on 20 December 1998, the NEAR thrusters
- 34 expelled >28 kg of hydrazine fuel on to the spacecraft. Some fraction of this volume was deposited on to

- 35 the outer optical surface of the NEAR Multispectral Imager (MSI; Hawkins, 1998; Murchie et al., 1999,
- 36 2002b), causing spectrally-dependent blurring for all of MSI's filters. The MSI camera was a five-element
- refractive telescope with eight filter positions. Seven narrowband filters covered wavelengths from 450
- to 1050 nm, while one panchromatic filter covered from 600 to 800 nm. The blurring was worst at the
- 39 shortest (450 nm) and longest (1050 nm) wavelengths. Because this contamination occurred before any
- 40 surface-resolved imaging of Eros, the entirety of the resolved data set was degraded.
- 41 The MSI team took extensive observations of Canopus to characterize the point spread function (PSF) of
- 42 the optics after contamination. These observations imaged Canopus in different regions of the detector
- 43 and with all eight filters. Li et al. (2002) used a subset of these observations to develop a remediation
- 44 and the NEAR team supplied those deblurred images to the Small Bodies Node (SBN) at the Planetary
- 45 Data System (PDS).
- Li et al. (2002) estimated a radially symmetric PSF for each MSI filter to deblur the images with a Fast
- 47 Fourier Transform (FFT) based method. This method recovered much of the spatial resolution for the
- 48 central wavelengths (550 1000 nm), though the extreme wavelengths were less successful (450, 1050
- 49 nm). In addition, limitations in the size of the FFT window led to cropping the image in one direction and
- 50 strong artifacts on the edges of the images. The effective usable area of the restored images was
- 51 therefore reduced (Li et al., 2002), however this shortcoming was mitigated by a targeting strategy that
- 52 included extra overlap between images to ensure no coverage was lost. The remediation enabled all of
- 53 NEAR's surface analysis and subsequent science. These analyses included global mapping (Buczkowski et
- al., 2008; Bussey et al., 2002; Veverka et al., 2000), color mapping (Murchie et al., 2002a; Riner et al.,
- 55 2008), shape model and topographic analysis (Buczkowski et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2002), geology
- 56 (Cheng et al., 2002; Dombard et al., 2010; Izenberg et al., 2003; Robinson et al., 2002; Thomas and
- 57 Robinson, 2005), and photometric modeling (Li et al., 2004).
- 58 We have the opportunity now, 20 years after MSI observed the surface of Eros, to improve upon this
- 59 preliminary remediation in a number of ways. Increased computational resources allow us to deviate
- from efficiency-based design choices such as FFT windows that are powers of two, eliminating cropping
- and edge artifacts. Moreover, we can take advantage of the full set of Canopus images to develop a
- 62 more advanced PSF model for each filter, including breaking the assumption of radial symmetry. The
- extent of the Canopus dataset suggested we might explore the feasibility of PSF that varies across MSI's
- 64 field of view, though that proved not to be viable. In this manuscript, we detail the advanced modeling
- and deblurring process that we applied to the entire MSI orbital dataset.

66 2 MSI PSF

67 2.1 Deblurring algorithm

- 68 Our deblurring methodology is derived from the method used in the preliminary MSI remediation (Li et 69 al., 2002). In both works, the degraded image, g(x,y), is expressed as the convolution of the original
- signal, f(x,y), and a distorting function, h(x,y), with some additive noise, k.

71
$$g(x, y) = f(x, y) * h(x, y) + k$$

72 If we assume we can model or estimate the distorting (blurring) function and noise level, we can utilize a

(1)

- 73 Wiener deconvolution to restore the original image (Dhawan et al., 1985). Wiener deconvolution is a
- 74 common restoration method in which we transform the components to Fourier space with an FFT,

- 75 invert the blurring function, and transform back to physical space to restore the original image. In this
- 76 work, we used a built-in MATLAB function, *deconvwnr*, to perform the Wiener deconvolution. To
- evaluate the efficacy of the MATLAB function, we re-implemented the preliminary MSI remediation in
- 78 MATLAB without *deconvwnr*. We verified that the MATLAB implementation produced identical results to
- the original MSI remediation. We then compared the results of the *deconvwnr* algorithm with the re-
- 80 implementation of the preliminary MSI remediation method and found that the former had qualitatively
- 81 improved noise reduction (evaluated by visual inspection).
- 82 The mathematical basis for our new method is otherwise similar, with one important difference. The
- preliminary remediation cropped the degraded images to 412×512 (from 412×537). While this was
- 84 necessary for their implementation, it removed 25 lines (columns) from the images. Moreover, the
- discontinuous boundaries at the edges of the images caused FFT ringing (Figure 1(b)). Li et al. (2002)
- 86 estimated that the usable pixel area of their remediated images was reduced to ~21% for
- 87 monochromatic analyses and ~39% for color analyses. To avoid this loss, we make two changes. First, we
- remove the requirement that we perform FFT operations with powers of two (e.g., 512×512), so we do
- 89 not have to crop the image. Secondly, we apply a tapered symmetric padding to all edges of the images.
- 90 That is, we expand the image by an arbitrary amount (e.g., 50 pixels) in each direction and reflect the
- 91 image data across the boundary. We then taper the data in the padded region such that the signal
- 92 approaches 0 at the new edges of the image (Figure 1(c)). This forces the image to be approximately
- 23 zero at all boundaries and FFT artifacts that result from edge discontinuities are eliminated. Even
- 94 without improved remediation (Section 3), these changes alone restore the lost pixels, increasing the
- 95 usable areas by 21-39%.



- 97 Figure 1: Correcting a degraded image (m0128004492, acquired at 09:31:06 on 2000 March 09, 14 km wide) with its original
- 98 aspect ratio (a) will produce FFT artifacts at the edges (b). Applying a tapered symmetric padding (c) across this boundary
- 99 (dashed orange line) eliminates the artifacts.

100 2.2 Point Source Data

101 To apply the remediation algorithm, we must estimate the distorting function (i.e., the system PSF after 102 hydrazine decontamination, h(x,y) in Eqn. 1). After the hydrazine contamination event, MSI collected 103 >7,000 images of Canopus in all eight filters and in several regions of the detector. MSI acquired the Canopus images throughout 1999, 2000, and 2001, however the MSI team saw no evidence of temporal 104 changes in the MSI PSF (Li et al., 2002) and our analysis confirmed this. The MSI team designed the 105 106 Canopus observations such that Canopus fell in one of nine regions (in a 3x3 grid) of the detector. With 107 the exception of the extreme wavelengths (450 and 1100 nm) and the panchromatic filter, MSI imaged 108 Canopus in all nine regions for the five remaining filters. For those underrepresented filters, MSI imaged 109 Canopus in regions 3, 5, and 8 (Figure 2). However, the majority of images for all filters were in the central region, even those with full coverage acquired as few as 16 images in each region, as shown in 110

111 Table 1.



Canopus Imaging Composite



113 Figure 2: Composite of nine Canopus images with filter 4 (900 nm) in the nine detector regions.

- 114
- 115
- 116
- 117

118 Table 1: Region layout and number of images of Canopus acquired by MSI per region and filter acquired

Filter 1 (550 nm)				
16	16	32		
16	667	16		
16	94	16		

Filter 4 (950 nm)				
16	16	32		
16	804	16		
16	93	16		

Filter 7 (1050 nm)			
0	0	16	
0	1376	0	
0	173	0	

Filter 2 (450 nm)				
0	0	16		
0	642	0		
0	88	0		

Filter 5 (900 nm)				
16	16	32		
16	599	16		
16	65	16		

Filter 3 (760 nm)			
16	12	32	
16	659	16	
16	107	16	

Filter 6 (1000 nm)			
16	16	32	
16	549	16	
16	96	16	

Filter 0 (pan)			
0	0 16		
0	532	0	
0	43	0	

119

120 2.3 Aspect Correction

121 All Canopus images are available on the PDS SBN in the Eros MSI Cruise and Orbit bundles. The MSI team

archived all MSI images in Flexible Image Transport System (FITS) format, with associated PDS3-style
 label files (per image) containing additional metadata. This work, for both PSF modeling and deblurring,

124 uses the Level 2 calibrated MSI data archived with the SBN. Level 2 images are calibrated for bias signal,

dark current, charge smear, responsive non-uniformity, and radiometric conversion (Murchie et al.,

126 2002b, 1999).

127 The images were originally archived in their native pixel format – 244 rows by 537 columns, where the

pixels are $27 \times 16 \,\mu\text{m}$. All data processed in this work and displayed in this manuscript have been aspect-

129 corrected to 412×537 to accommodate the rectangular pixels. The resized images represent a physically

130 meaningful aspect ratio. While we did explore modeling and correcting the image degradation in the

131 native pixel space, as proposed by Li et al. (2002), we found that it did not fundamentally improve the

132 remediation.

133 Our remediation, therefore, inherently included resizing the image. We resized the images with

134 MATLAB's *imresize* function and a bicubic interpolator, though other interpolators (or resizing as part of

the Fourier space remediation) are equally valid. Rather than embed another resizing process into the

data, we elected not to compress the images back to their native pixel format after remediation. Any

137 subsequent scientific analyses using MSI data will undoubtedly occur with aspect-corrected images.

138 While this necessarily requires ~40% more storage space for the data, it avoids burdening the data with

an additional noisy step that will be immediately reversed by any future users.

140 2.4 Reducing Data

141 Unfortunately, modeling of the MSI PSF is challenging owing to the presence of *aliasing* on the detector.

- 142 The MSI detector was a frame transfer charge coupled device (CCD). Like many such devices (Golish et
- al., 2020; Sierks et al., 2011), the MSI pixels do not have 100% fill factor (Murchie et al., 1999). Anti-
- 144 blooming channels between pairs of pixel columns obscured 8 μ m bands (4 μ m from each column) in an

asymmetric pattern. An additional \sim 6.5 μ m at the bottom of each pixel were not sensitive to light. As a

result, the effective fill factor of the pixels was 0.5675, with aliasing in both the row and column

147 directions. For an extended source, the insensitive regions blocked ~44% of the incoming light, but was

accommodated by the radiometric calibration of the camera (Murchie et al., 2002b, 1999). However,

- 149 when observing a point source, and with a PSF width on the order of a pixel, the fraction of the incoming
- 150 light that was detected depended strongly on where the point source was imaged relative to the pixel
- 151 grid.

152 Without exact point source locations, and a precise measure of the pixel geometry, automatic correction

153 of the Canopus images is impossible. Instead, we coadded many images of Canopus such that we

successfully sampled the peak of the PSF, while also increasing the signal to noise ratio (SNR) in the

155 distal parts of the PSF, which are broad and dim.

156 To reliably combine 10s (or 100s) of point source images, we first had to center the images of Canopus

157 for each filter/region combination. The pointing for every MSI image is described by the SPICE kernels

- archived by the Navigation and Ancillary Information Facility (NAIF; Acton et al., 2018). The SPICE toolkit
- allows us to calculate the right ascension and declination (RA/dec) for the four corners of a given image.
- 160 We then transformed the nominal RA/dec (95.988° / -52.696°) of Canopus into an approximate pixel
- 161 location for Canopus in the image. We cropped the image to an *N* x *N* window around the nominal

162 Canopus location. The size of *N* depended on the filter, due to variation in the PSF width as a function of

wavelength – 40 pixels for filters 0, 1, 2, and 3; 20 pixels for filter 5, 16 pixels for filters 4 and 6; and 10

pixels for filter 7. We calculated the weighted centroid of the resulting crop to identify the center of the

- 165 image of Canopus. This method, which is highly sensitive to the broad, shallow wings of the PSF,
- consistently aligned the images. Optimizing the crop window was critical for this method. Too large a
 window allowed background noise and/or cosmic rays to perturb the weighted centroid. Alternatively,
- 168 too small a window excluded the wings of the PSF and reduced the centroid fidelity.

169 For each filter/region combination, we then combined all available images of Canopus into a single 170 image via a median operation. Because of aliasing on the detector, the central peak of a point source 171 image could be masked by as much as 80% (Murchie et al., 1999). This had a negligible effect on the 172 wings of the PSF -- it consistently masked ~44% of the light, but was not dependent on the location of 173 the PSF with respect to the pixel grid. The original remediation mitigated this effect by constructing a 174 composite PSF from four concentric zones (Li et al., 2002). We mimic and simplify this mitigation by 175 representing the PSF as the composite of two regions when combining Canopus images. For the central 176 3x3 pixel region surrounding the peak of the PSF, we included only the brightest images. This effectively 177 assumed that for many locations of Canopus, with respect to the pixel grid, some fraction would be 178 centered on the light-sensitive region. Setting this threshold too high would allow too many images 179 where the PSF was not well centered. Setting it too low would reduce the SNR we gain by combining 180 multiple images. We found that a threshold of 5% achieved an optimal balance between these two 181 factors. However, for the underrepresented filter/region combinations, 5% of 16-32 images is only 1-2 182 images, which do not produce a meaningful median. Therefore, for those underrepresented regions, we 183 also implemented a minimum, where at least three images must be included in every median. Again, 184 this was a balance between too few and too many images. Clearly, the limited number of Canopus 185 images outside of the central detector region reduced the statistical strength of this method.

- 186 Finally, we note that some MSI images had residual background noise (Figure 3). We expect that this
- 187 noise, based on its sinusoidal structure, is likely uncorrected read noise from the detector electronics
- 188 (Janesick, 2001). Moreover, the noise pattern is not eliminated by the median combination of several
- 189 images, indicating that it is a somewhat fixed pattern in the detector readout. The level of the noise is
- 190 sufficiently low (<4% of the peak signal) that it has negligible impact on any radiometric or
- 191 morphological use of the images. However, for blur remediation, which includes modeling the wings of
- the PSF, a sinusoidal background can significantly perturb the model. Modeling of the noise proved
- ineffective as likely to introduce artifacts as it was to remove the sinusoidal noise. Presumably, this
- 194 noise source is best removed during image calibration. However, rather than attempt to recreate the
- 195 MSI calibration pipeline, we instead simply set all negative pixel values in the co-added image to zero.
- 196 This removed the majority of the sinusoidal pattern, but has no significant effect on our measurement of
- 197 the PSF, which necessarily includes only positive values.





Figure 3: Sinusoidal background noise in the images can perturb the PSF model. Setting all negative pixel values to 0 removes
 the sinusoidal noise without significantly affecting the PSF measurement.

201 2.5 PSF Model

- 202 The original remediation represented the MSI PSF as a radially symmetric distribution, created by taking 203 the median of many images of Canopus (utilizing the composite structure described in Section 2.4) and 204 averaging the result in the radial dimension to increase the SNR (Li et al., 2002). In contrast, we modeled 205 the MSI PSF functionally and not as the direct reduction of image data. The data averaging method used 206 by Li et al. (2002) has the advantage that it can represent small variations in the PSF which a functional 207 model is less likely to capture. This is particularly relevant for a PSF model, which is classically 208 represented as a sinc function, which includes non-monotonic behavior in its wings. However, that 209 representation is prone to variation due to noise. On the other hand, a functional representation has the 210 advantage that it forces (with the appropriate functional form) physically realistic conditions (e.g., the
- 211 PSF must always be positive). It is also more flexible, because we are able to create and adjust a PSF

- image (used in the deblurring process, Section 2.1) for any size array as opposed to the data averaging
- 213 method, which creates a fixed PSF image of a fixed size. This flexibility (particularly the ability to adjust
- the PSF on the fly) will be important for optimizing the PSF (Section 2.7).
- 215 The MSI PSF is characterized by a central peak, which broadened due to the contamination (Li et al.,
- 216 2002), a relatively high shoulder, and broad shallow wings (Figure 4(a,d)). While the ideal representation
- of a PSF is a sinc function, the broad, shallow shoulder and wings cause a physically-motivated form to
- be insufficient. Instead, we chose to utilize an empirical form of the sum of three Gaussians. While
- clearly an approximation, the Gaussians allow us to capture the three components of the PSF (peak,
- shoulder, and wings) separately (Figure 4(b,e)). Moreover, the PSF is somewhat asymmetric; the three
- Gaussian form allows us to model the x (sample) and y (line) directions. The three Gaussian model has
 the form

223
$$I_{PSF} = C_1 e^{-((x-x_1)^2/C^2 + (y-y_1)^2/C^2)} + C_2 e^{-((x-x_2)^2/C^2 + (y-y_2)^2/C^2)} + C_3 e^{-((x-x_3)^2/C^2 + (y-y_3)^2/C^2)}$$
(2)

where C_n is the peak value, σ_{xn} and σ_{yn} are the widths, and x_n and y_n are the center offsets, in the x

225 (sample) and y (line) directions. We model the PSFs in MATLAB with the curve fitting toolbox (*fit*), using

a non-linear least squares solver. The solver optimized the free parameters to minimize the difference

between the measured data and the model. After fitting, the model is normalized and centered such

- that the peak of the model is equal to 1 and located at 0,0.
- 229 When compared with the PSF designed for the original remediation of 950 nm images (Figure 4(c,f)), the
- 230 new remediation has a broader PSF, relative to its peak. The original remediation used the brightest
- pixel in any Canopus image to define the brightness of the central pixel of the PSF. This will inherently be
- larger than our method, which takes the median of the brightest 5% of the images for the central 3x3
- pixel region. The PSF models for the original remediation were archived (and applied) as 512x512 FITS
- images. Correspondingly, there is some visible quantization in the original PSF model.







238 Compared with the PSF from the original remediation (c,f), we see a somewhat broader PSFs relative to its peak.

239 2.6 Spatial Variance

- 240 With more images in use than with the original remediation, we investigated whether a spatially variant 241 PSF might improve the deblurring results. We repeated the analysis described above and produced PSF 242 models for every region that has Canopus data. Unfortunately, the sparsity of data in the outer regions 243 of the detector (Table 1) resulted in significant variation between the regions. Figure 5 shows cross-244 sections of the coadded Canopus images described in Section 2.4 for filter 4 (950 nm). Even for filter 4, 245 which has the most post-contamination Canopus data, the peak value of the PSF varies by ~15% 246 between regions. While the variation might be indicative of a spatially variant optical sensitivity, this is 247 both physically unlikely (the contamination is on the outer surface of the lens only, not near any optical 248 pupil) and unsupported by the data. All filters with Canopus data in more than three regions have 249 region-to-region variability >12%. Filters 2, 7, and 0 only have Canopus imaging in three regions, making 250 any spatial variation impossible to detect. Instead, we suspect that aliasing, which reduces the fidelity of 251 the PSF measurement, results in apparent variation between the regions, some of which have only 16 or 252 32 measurements per filter (Table 1). Nonetheless, we did attempt to apply the PSFs modeled in the 253 outer regions to evaluate their efficacy. In every case, a regional PSF recovered less image quality than 254 the PSF designed from the center region, even in the area for which the regional PSF was designed. We 255 conclude that there is not enough Canopus imaging in the exterior regions to support accurate PSF 256 modeling, and by extension, a spatially variant correction. We elect to use only image data from the
- 257 central region of each filter to model each per-filter PSF.



258

Figure 5: Cross-sections of coadded measurements of Canopus imaged with filter 4 (950 nm), shows significant variation
 between detector regions. Region layout matches that shown in Figure 2.

261 2.7 Manual PSF adjustment

- 262 Our initial application of the PSF models to deblur the image produced unsatisfactory results. Though
- 263 the new PSFs recovered somewhat more information than the original remediation, they had a number

of issues. Fortunately, the initial models were close and our functional modeling strategy allowed us to

- adjust the PSF and rerun the deblurring algorithm (Section 2.1) to mitigate these issues. We performedthis process iteratively to optimize the PSFs.
- 267 The width of the central Gaussian in the PSF model was has the strongest influence on the amount of
- 268 deblurring achieved. However, when deburring the original image (Figure 6(a)) with the automatically
- 269 derived PSF model, the remediated images had columnar pixelization (Figure 6(b)). These artifacts are
- 270 likely a result of the Fourier-based deblurring method (Section 2.1) and the high degree of aliasing on
- 271 the MSI detector (Murchie et al., 1999). As discussed in Section 2.4, we selected a subset of Canopus
- images to model the central 3x3 region of the PSF to mitigate the impact of aliasing masking the true
- 273 brightness of a point source. However, to the extent that this mitigation is imperfect, the PSF model for
- the central Gaussian will be less accurate. We found that narrowing the width of the central Gaussian in
- the y (line) direction helped reduce ringing around high contrast boundaries. Moreover, the MSI
- detector is asymmetrically aliased in *x* direction. Correspondingly, we found that increasing the width of
- 277 the central Gaussian in the *x* (sample) direction reduced the columnar artifacts.
- 278 In practice, we found that the automatic model identified the width of the Gaussian representing the
- shoulder accurately. Small changes (~20%) in this width had little impact on the resulting deblurred
- images. However, our measurements of the wings of the models were noisy; the signal level in the wings
- is low and aliasing reduced the efficacy of the image coadding. The width of the Gaussian representing
- the wings controlled the extent to which the light spread, creating a 'glow' (Figure 6(c)) or 'halo' (Figure
- 283 6(d)) at transitions between a bright and dark area of the scene, e.g., the limb of the asteroid. As the
- width of the broadest Gaussian decreased, the glow on the limb increased. As the width increased, the
- halo surrounding the asteroid increased. We adjusted the width of the Gaussian to minimize the
- intensity of both effects, though the choice was inherently a trade-off between them.
- 287
- 288



289

Figure 6: Recovering a contaminated MSI image (m012800492, a) with the automatically derived PSF model produced artifacts,
 including columnar noise (b), glow at high contrast boundaries (c), and halos at high contrast boundaries (d).

292 2.8 Determination of noise term

293 In the absence of noise, the ideal PSF would perfectly correct the degraded images. In practice, a variety 294 of noise sources (e.g., read noise, shot noise, fixed pattern noise, stray light; (Janesick, 2001; Murchie et 295 al., 2002b, 1999)) and an imperfect PSF model inhibit the correction by amplifying the noise. The noise 296 term in a Wiener deconvolution (k in Eqn. 1) mitigates this effect by attenuating frequencies with low 297 SNR. Practically, we must increase the noise term for images with lower SNR or when their PSF model is 298 less accurate. Like Li et al. (2002), we find that a derived or automatically defined noise term does not 299 perform well, so we define it by manually adjusting it to produce the best remediation. However, the 300 noise term and PSF model are directly related. As such, determining the noise term is inherently a trade-301 off between improving image sharpness and amplifying noise and FFT artifacts.

302 We iteratively modified both the PSF shape and noise term to produce the best visual results.

- 303 Unfortunately, we were not able to develop an automatic method of determining image quality. The
- 304 artifacts introduced by over-processing the images have the same characteristics (e.g. high frequency
- 305 content, high contrast, gradient steepness) that are typically used as image quality metrics. Therefore,
- 306 we manually optimized the Gaussian width and noise terms to produce the best visual results (Table 2).
- 307
- 308

Filter	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	0
(wavelength, nm)	(550)	(450)	(760)	(950)	(900)	(1000)	(1050)	(pan)
<i>C</i> ₁	0.85	0.66	0.88	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.81	0.89
<i>C</i> ₂	0.086	0.21	0.084	0.059	0.056	0.069	0.18	0.065
C3	0.061	0.14	0.04	0.028	0.026	0.031	0.024	0.045
σ_{x1}	1.3	0.8	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1	1.4
σ_{x2}	3.3	3	3	3	3.3	2.5	3	3.5
σ_{x3}	12	12	12	11	12	13	12	12
σ_{y1}	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.6	1	0.5	0.5
σ_{Y2}	3	3	3	3	2.8	2.5	3	3
уЗ	12	12	12	11	12	11	12	12
<i>X</i> ₁	0.0037	0.0061	0.0048	0.0055	0.0036	0.0081	0.0085	0.0032
X2	-0.55	-0.16	-0.58	-0.86	-0.83	-0.79	-0.5	-0.53
X 3	-0.34	-0.31	-0.34	-0.41	-0.38	-0.33	-0.84	-0.23
У 1	0.00088	-0.0044	0.00095	0.0034	-0.0055	0.0085	0.0028	0.002
y 2	-0.021	0.067	-0.067	-0.25	0.4	-0.33	-0.041	-0.18
Уз	-0.078	-0.19	-0.061	-0.085	0.095	-0.022	-0.0076	-0.17
k	2	6	0.4	0.25	0.2	0.3	3	0.4

310

To determine these parameters, we performed a series of qualitative analyses. These analyses uses

images that span the range of scenes imaged by MSI (e.g., whole disk, limb, well illuminated, and deeplyshadowed).

314 We evaluate remediation images that include the limb (Figure 7(left)) by tracing profiles across the limb

315 (Figure 7(right)), calculated as the median of several limb-crossing rows. Figure 7 illustrates the inherent

trade-off: a sharper limb profile (lower k) indicates improved deblurring, but over-processing an image

317 will lead to artifacts at the limb boundary. These artifacts manifest as ringing on either side of the

discontinuity (most obvious in the top row), as well as a sharp peak and valley just before and after the

limb. However, increasing the value of k to eliminate FFT artifacts (bottom row) results in poor

320 deblurring performance and even that does not eliminate the peak before the limb. The valley after the

limb is only eliminated because the limb has blurred enough to fill it in. Again, without a quantitative

measure of accuracy, our parameters are guided by visual appearance and inherently qualitative.

However, we make these choices informed by the needs of typical image data products (e.g.,

324 monochromatic maps and color ratios). We also evaluate images that don't include limb by tracing

profiles across high contrast features, such as high albedo features and deeply shadowed regions

326 (Section 3.3). We evaluate examples such as these imaged with each filter to guide our selection of

327 deblurring model parameters.





Figure 7: Limb profiles of a remediated image (m0151057156) help determine the design of the PSF and magnitude of the noise
 term. Setting the noise term low produces a sharp limb profile, but setting the noise term high reduces ringing around the limb.

331 3 REMEDIATION QUALITY

332 3.1 Qualitative summary

333 For all filters, the new remediation shows improvement over the preliminary version. We find that this is 334 primarily due to an alternative PSF model that allowed us to reduce the noise term. The asymmetry of 335 central Gaussian of the PSF model (σ_{x1} and σ_{y2} in Table 2) reduced the magnitude of FFT artifacts while improving image quality (Section 2.7), but the trade between sharpness and noise remains (Section 2.8). 336 337 Though we evaluated the new remediation on a small subset of images (~100s out of the 100,000 image 338 database), the improvement was consistent. This included for whole disk images (Figure 8(a,c,e)), limb images (Figure 8(b,d,f)), full field images (Figure 9), and images from every filter (Figure 10). The images 339 340 shown in these figures are given identical grayscale stretches to highlight the improvement qualitatively. 341 The depth of shadows (e.g., in craters) and reflectance on bright surfaces (e.g., crater rims) are 342 enhanced in the new remediation, producing a sharper appearance. Moreover, FFT artifacts, visible 343 extending ~10s of pixels from the edges of the images with the original remediation, are not present in

the new remediation.



Figure 8: Degraded images m0125680533 (a) and m0128004492 (b) acquired with filter 4 (950 nm) improved significantly with
the preliminary remediation (c,d; Li et al. 2002), but asymmetric PSF design allowed for further improvement in this work (e,f).
Images on the left are cropped to a 165 x 127 window around the asteroid. An identical greyscale stretch is applied to each
version of each image (different stretches for the two columns).





Figure 9: Additional example of degraded image m0153333885 (a,b) acquired with filter 4 (950 nm), its original remediation
(c,d), and its new remediation (e,f). The right column (b,d,f) is a zoomed in region. All images have an identical grayscale stretch.



353

Figure 10: Degraded images (left), original remediation (middle), and new remediation (right) for additional filters. Image
numbers are m0150981856, m0150981854, m0150981858, m0150981862, m0150981864, m0150981866 for filters 2, 1, 3, 5, 6,

and 7, respectively. All three images from each filter have the same grayscale stretch.

357 3.2 Filters 2 and 7

- 358 As shown in Figure 10, all filters show improvement over the original remediation, but filters 2 (450 nm) 359 and 7 (1050 nm) remain the least well corrected. As described in Li et al. (2002), the contamination had 360 the largest impact on the extreme wavelength filters. In that original remediation, they were unable to 361 correct these filters as well, and many had extreme FFT artifacts (Figure 10). As such, the PSF model we 362 designed for these filters (Table 2), are noticeably different from the rest. Their central Gaussians are 363 narrower with a smaller peak (relative to the other two Gaussian components). Moreover, the SNR of 364 images acquired with these filters is uniformly lower than the other filters. The camera is less sensitive 365 in filter 2 (450 nm) due to the quantum efficiency of the detector and transmission of the optics 366 (Hawkins et al., 1997), necessitating exposure times 2.5-5X longer than the middle wavelengths. 367 Exposure times are even longer (10-20X) for filter 7 (1050 nm), due to lower detector quantum 368 efficiency at longer wavelengths (Hawkins et al., 1997). Using an unrealistically low noise term 369 introduces speckle FFT artifacts (i.e., noise in the original image is amplified in the deconvolution 370 process). As a result, we set the noise terms much higher in filters 2 and 7. This sacrifices some image 371 quality, but avoids extreme FFT noise.
- 372 **3.3** Quantitative analysis

We were not able to develop a thorough quantitative analysis of the improved remediation. As with identifying an 'ideal' noise factor (Section 2.8), such an analysis requires a robust quantitative quality metric. Every metric we investigated to design the deblurring parameters was sensitive to both image sharpness and high frequency noise. However, high-contrast surface features provide an opportunity to quantitatively evaluate the new remediation for particular geological units. Moreover, these are exactly the types of surface features that an improved remediation will allow further study of.

379 The geological features we analyzed included bright streaks (Selene crater at 760 nm; Figure 11), dark 380 deposits (Psyche crater at 450 nm; Figure 12) and streaks (Psyche crater at 1000 nm; Figure 13), crater 381 walls (Avtandil crater at 550 and Selene crater at 900 nm; Figure 14 and Figure 15), boulders (950 nm; 382 Figure 16), and the asteroid limb (1050 nm; Figure 17). The analysis in these images traces a profile 383 perpendicular to the contrast boundary created by the feature. We rotated the images such that the 384 profiles were horizontal (i.e., along a row) and calculated the median of 5 rows around and including the 385 profile line. The median partially smoothed the pixel-to-pixel variation that is present in the images, 386 though an obvious residual variation remains in many examples and is discussed further below. The 387 figures show an image corrected with the new and original remediations. The left column shows the full 388 image; the middle column crops to the region of the profile. All images are given the same grayscale 389 stretch. The absolute profiles (in units of I/F) are plotted in the top-right. Because the new remediation 390 also includes new radiometric correction (Section 4), the mean I/F of an image can be different when 391 compared with the original remediation. To remove this from the comparison, we calculate a linear fit to 392 each profile and divide it into the profile. This effectively removes the absolute I/F calibration and any 393 local reflectance slope. The result is shown in the middle-right plot for both methods and demonstrates 394 how well the remediation methods resolve reflectance changes. Finally, the difference between these 395 relative profiles is plotted in the bottom-right to provide a quantitative estimate of the remediation 396 quality.

These examples provide a number of insights with respect to the quality of the new remediation. Highcontrast features are, in general, better resolved with the new remediation. That is, the contrast change

399 'on' and 'off' the feature is greater. This is illustrated by Figure 14, which traces a profile across the 400 bright wall of Avtandil crater. The reflectance of the bright wall is 50% brighter than the surrounding 401 terrain in the original remediation, but 65% brighter in the new remediation. Other examples of higher 402 frequency features (such as bright and dark streaks), show similar behavior, but are muddled by high 403 frequency noise. For example, the contrast variation between bright streaks in Selene crater (Figure 11) 404 is amplified (i.e., the peaks and valleys are further from the reflectance average) in the new remediation, 405 but noise in the image is similarly amplified. So while the bright streaks have ~5% higher contrast in the 406 new remediation, background noise has ~2% higher contrast. This background noise is often visible in 407 regions without measurable signal (such as deep shadows or off-limb), where scene-independent noise 408 (e.g., shot noise, read noise, uncorrected dark current) is amplified. This reinforces the fundamental 409 trade-off between sharpness and noise (Section 2.8). Often, as in the 450 nm image of dark deposits on 410 Psyche crater (Figure 12), the noise is present in both methods, but the noise is better 'resolved' with 411 the new remediation. Nonetheless, high contrast features, such as the transition between a boulder's 412 shadow and its sunlit side (Figure 16), show tens of percent increase in contrast with the new 413 remediation. Limb profiles, which were partially used to design the new PSF and noise terms, show a 414 similar level of improvement (Figure 17). These examples are a very small fraction of the large MSI Eros 415 dataset and they have been chosen to highlight the improvement made possible by the new remediation. Many images have minimal improvement over the original remediation, though we have 416 417 not found any that show degradation. Nonetheless, because the new images have generally improved 418 sharpness, they often have generally increased noise.





421 Figure 11: Profile analysis of bright streaks in Selene crater, imaged at 760 nm (m0155816391).

422



424 Figure 12: Profile analysis of dark deposits in Psyche crater, imaged at 450 nm (m0141515386).



425

426 Figure 13: Profile analysis of dark streaks in Psyche crater, imaged at 1000 nm (m0141515392).



428 Figure 14: Profile analysis of a bright wall in Avtandil crater at 550 nm (m0155204785).







433 Figure 16: Profile analysis of a XX m boulder at 950 nm (m0155818916).





438 4 RADIOMETRIC CORRECTION

- 439 Blur remediation shifts a significant portion of the optical energy between pixels. Consequently, the
- 440 radiometric (radiance or I/F) values are incorrect without further correction. We follow the strategy
- outlined in (Li et al., 2002) to apply an absolute radiometric calibration, wherein we assume that energy
- is conserved in the remediation process. That is, all energy measured in the original (degraded) images
- exists in the final (remediated) images, it has only been shifted between pixels. Therefore, we forced the
- sum of the energy in the region surrounding the asteroid in a remediated image to match that in its
- 445 corresponding degraded image. This is only accurate when we perform it on a whole disk image (Figure
- 18), where all measured energy is captured within the MSI field of view.



447

Figure 18: Degraded whole disk images (left) provide a radiometric normalization for recovered images (right) by summing the
energy surrounding the asteroid (indicated by orange circle). The example shown was acquired with filter 4 (m0125680533).

450 Because the degraded images have signal past the asteroid limb (e.g., the glow and halo discussed in

451 Section 2.7), we summed the energy well past the limb so that any blurred energy was captured in the

- sum. We tested summing the entire image versus summing a 150 pixel radius circle around Eros and
- found the differences to be <0.02% for all filters. We repeated this calculation for whole disk images
- acquired by all eight filters on 11 February and 12 February, 2000 (312 images total) and calculated the
 median radiometric correction for each filter. The number of images, per filter, and median radiometric
- 455 correction are listed in Table 3. These values were calculated for and applied to the data described in
- 457 this paper. Unfortunately, if a user applies their own remediation with the published code (Section 5),
- 458 using customized PSF and noise term values, the radiometric correction parameters in Table 3 will be
- 459 theoretically invalid. Though small changes in the remediation parameters will have a small effect on the
- 460 radiometric correction, users should nonetheless take caution and consider calculating new radiometric
- 461 correction factors by reproducing the radiometric analysis described here for differently deblurred data.
- In any image where energy (i.e. Eros) is at the edge of the field of view, some of it will have been blurred off the detector. That energy is lost in the measurement and cannot be recovered. However, the surface that is just outside the field of view will partially blur onto the detector. To first order, these effects cancel each other out and do not require additional radiometric correction. This is not valid in edge cases where an extremely bright or dark scene is present at the edge of the field of view (e.g., an image where the asteroid limb is exactly at the edge of the image). However, we assume that these cases are sufficiently rare that we take no additional steps to accommodate them.

469 Table 3: Radiometric corrections for each filter

Filter	Number of	Radiometric
(wavelength, nm)	images	correction
1 (550)	43	32.49
2 (450)	43	69.66
3 (760)	43	21.03
4 (950)	42	14.54
5 (900)	43	15.77
6 (1000)	43	18.26
7 (1050)	42	17.61
0 (pan)	12	24.68

470

- 471 We verified the relative (filter-to-filter) radiometric calibration by calculating a spectrum of Eros using
- the same whole disk images and comparing to published spectra (Murchie et al., 2002a; Murchie, 1996).
- 473 We normalized the data at 550 nm to eliminate the absolute radiometric component. The difference
- 474 between our calibration and the published spectra is within the MSI radiometric uncertainty (5%)
- 475 determined by Murchie et al. (2002b, 1999) and within the difference between the published spectra.



476

477 Figure 19: Comparison of relative radiometric calibration of the new remediation (black asterisks) with published spectra of Eros
478 (blue lines). Horizontal error bars indicate the width of each MSI filter.

479 5 CODE AVAILABILITY AND CONCLUSIONS

480 We have updated the blur remediation method first published by Li et al. (2002) to utilize an asymmetric

481 model of the MSI optics after hydrazine contamination. This new model, which we functionally define as

482 the sum of three Gaussians, allows for recovery of additional spatial content from the degraded images.

- 483 We add tapered symmetric padding to the FFT-based deconvolution to eliminate the FFT artifacts that
- 484 were present along the edges of images with the original remediation. The changes increase the usable

- pixels in the images by 21-39%. We demonstrated this improvement both visually and with the contrast
- 486 examples given in Section 3.3. However, an objective measure of 'improvement' is illusive and depends487 strongly on the desired application of the images.
- 488 We have applied the new correction to all MSI images acquired during 2000 and 2001 that are currently
- 489 available in the PDS SBN (https://sbn.psi.edu/pds/resource/near/msiinst.html). We will archive the
- 490 newly corrected images at the PDS Imaging Node. As noted in Section 2.3, the images are not
- 491 compressed back to their native pixel format (as the raw and original remediation data are); they are left
- 492 at the physically meaningful aspect ratio (412×537).
- 493 As demonstrated in the variety of examples provided in this manuscript, the choice of PSF and noise
- terms is inherently arbitrary and sensitive. Although the remediation we present here (and archived
- 495 with the PDS) was performed with terms that we believed produced the best trade-off between
- sharpness and noise, these choices may not apply to all images or applications. Color analyses are
- 497 typically very sensitive to pixel-level noise (DellaGiustina et al., 2020; Murchie et al., 2002a; Tatsumi et
- al., 2021), which is amplified in color ratios. As such, a color analysis may wish to apply a different
- 499 correction level to the images. For example, in color analyses that are beyond the scope of this
- 500 manuscript, we have found that color ratios (using overlapping images from different filters) require
- noise removal techniques (e.g., low pass filtering and Gaussian blurring) to maintain spatially coherent
- 502 structure. This filtering essentially removes much of the sharpness recovered in this work.
- 503 Our analyses found that using the newly remediated images is an improvement because it allows for
- 504 underlying, single-filter basemaps to have improved contrast (Section 3.3) and updated radiometric
- 505 correction (Section 4). However, to provide the most utility from this remediation, we are also
- publishing the code used to apply the remediation. That code is seeded with the PSF and noise term
- values given in Table 2, but those values can be adjusted as needed for individual scientific analyses. The
- 508 code is written in MATLAB and is available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21842979.v1.

509 6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 510 This work comes two decades after the end of the NEAR mission, the success of which was due to a
- 511 large number of individuals in the operational and scientific teams. Their efforts provided the
- 512 exceptional dataset we attempted to improve. The work here builds directly off the original remediation
- 513 developed by the MSI team, specifically Han Li and Mark Robinson, during NEAR operations. We also
- 514 thank Beth Clark for her insight and reviewing the manuscript.
- 515 The original image data used in this work are archived in the Planetary Data System Small Bodies Node
- at https://sbn.psi.edu/pds/resource/near/msiinst.html. This work was supported by NASA under
- 517 Contract NNH18ZDA001N-PDART issued through the Planetary Data, Archiving, Restoration, and Tools 518 program.

519 7 REFERENCES

- 520Acton, C., Bachman, N., Semenov, B., Wright, E., 2018. A look towards the future in the handling of521space science mission geometry. P&SS 150, 9–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2017.02.013
- 522 Buczkowski, D.L., Barnouin-Jha, O.S., Prockter, L.M., 2008. 433 Eros lineaments: Global mapping and 523 analysis. Icarus 193, 39–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2007.06.028

- Bussey, D.B.J., Robinson, M.S., Edwards, K., Thomas, P.C., Joseph, J., Murchie, S., Veverka, J., Harch, A.P.,
 2002. 433 Eros Global Basemap from NEAR Shoemaker MSI Images. Icarus 155, 38–50.
 https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.2001.6771
- 527 Cheng, A.F., Izenberg, N., Chapman, C.R., Zuber, M.T., 2002. Ponded deposits on asteroid 433 Eros.
 528 Meteoritics and Planetary Science 37, 1095–1105. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1945529 5100.2002.tb00880.x
- 530 Cheng, A.F., Santo, A.G., Heeres, K.J., Landshof, J.A., Farquhar, R.W., Gold, R.E., Lee, S.C., 1997. Near531 Earth Asteroid Rendezvous: Mission overview. JGRE 102, 23695–23708.
 532 https://doi.org/10.1029/96JE03364
- 533 DellaGiustina, D.N., Burke, K.N., Walsh, K.J., Smith, P.H., Golish, D.R., Bierhaus, E.B., Ballouz, R.-L., 534 Becker, T.L., Campins, H., Tatsumi, E., Yumoto, K., Sugita, S., Deshapriya, J.D.P., Cloutis, E.A., Clark,
- 535 B.E., Hendrix, A.R., Sen, A., Al Asad, M.M., Daly, M.G., Applin, D.M., Avdellidou, C., Barucci, M.A.,
- 536 Becker, K.J., Bennett, C.A., Bottke, W.F., Brodbeck, J.I., Connolly, H.C., Delbo, M., de Leon, J.,
- 537 Drouet d'Aubigny, C.Y., Edmundson, K.L., Fornasier, S., Hamilton, V.E., Hasselmann, P.H.,
- Hergenrother, C.W., Howell, E.S., Jawin, E.R., Kaplan, H.H., Le Corre, L., Lim, L.F., Li, J.Y., Michel, P.,
- 539 Molaro, J.L., Nolan, M.C., Nolau, J., Pajola, M., Parkinson, A., Popescu, M., Porter, N.A., Rizk, B.,
- 540 Rizos, J.L., Ryan, A.J., Rozitis, B., Shultz, N.K., Simon, A.A., Trang, D., Van Auken, R.B., Wolner, C.W.
- 541 V., Lauretta, D.S., 2020. Variations in color and reflectance on the surface of asteroid (101955)
 542 Bennu. Science 370, eabc3660. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc3660
- 543 Dhawan, A.P., Rangayyan, R.M., Gordon, R., 1985. Image restoration by Wiener deconvolution in
 544 limited-view computed tomography. Applied Optics 24, 4013.
 545 https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.24.004013
- Dombard, A.J., Barnouin, O.S., Prockter, L.M., Thomas, P.C., 2010. Boulders and ponds on the Asteroid
 433 Eros. Icarus 210, 713–721. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2010.07.006
- Golish, D.R., Drouet d'Aubigny, C., Rizk, B., DellaGiustina, D.N., Smith, P.H., Becker, K., Shultz, N., Stone,
 T., Barker, M.K., Mazarico, E., Tatsumi, E., Gaskell, R.W., Harrison, L., Merrill, C., Fellows, C.,
 Williams, B., O'Dougherty, S., Whiteley, M., Hancock, J., Clark, B.E., Hergenrother, C.W., Lauretta,
 D.S., 2020. Ground and In-Flight Calibration of the OSIRIS-REx Camera Suite. SSRv 216, 12.
- 552 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-019-0626-6
- Hawkins, S.E., 1998. The NEAR multispectral imager. Johns Hopkins APL Technical Digest (Applied
 Physics Laboratory) 19, 107–114.
- Hawkins, S.E., Darlington, E.H., Murchie, S.L., Peacock, K., Harris, T.J., Hersman, C.B., Elko, M.J.,
 Prendergast, D.T., Ballard, B.W., Gold, R.E., Veverka, J., Robinson, M.S., 1997. Multi-Spectral Imager
 on the Near Earth Asteroid Rendezvous mission. SSRv 82, 31–100.
- 558 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005099211710
- Izenberg, N.R., Murchie, S.L., Bell, J.F., McFadden, L.A., Wellnitz, D.D., Clark, B.E., Gaffey, M.J., 2003.
 Spectral properties and geologic processes on Eros from combined NEAR NIS and MSI data sets.
 Meteoritics and Planetary Science 38, 1053–1077. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.19455100.2003.tb00298.x
- Janesick, J.R., 2001. Scientific Charge-Coupled Devices. SPIE, 1000 20th Street, Bellingham, WA 98227 0010 USA. https://doi.org/10.1117/3.374903

- Li, H., Robinson, M.S., Murchie, S., 2002. Preliminary Remediation of Scattered Light in NEAR MSI
 Images. Icarus 155, 244–252. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.2001.6745
- Li, J., A'Hearn, M.F., McFadden, L.A., 2004. Photometric analysis of Eros from NEAR data. Icarus 172,
 415–431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2004.07.024
- Murchie, S., Robinson, M., Clark, B., Li, H., Thomas, P., Joseph, J., Bussey, B., Domingue, D., Veverka, J.,
 Izenberg, N., Chapman, C., 2002a. Color Variations on Eros from NEAR Multispectral Imaging.
 Icarus 155, 145–168. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.2001.6756
- Murchie, S., Robinson, M., Domingue, D., Li, H., Prockter, L., Hawkins, S.E., Owen, W., Clark, B., Izenberg,
 N., 2002b. Inflight Calibration of the NEAR Multispectral Imager II. Results from Eros Approach and
 Orbit. Icarus 155, 229–243. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.2001.6746
- Murchie, S., Robinson, M., Hawkins, S.E., Harch, A., Helfenstein, P., Thomas, P., Peacock, K., Owen, W.,
 Heyler, G., Murphy, P., Darlington, E.H., Keeney, A., Gold, R., Clark, B., Izenberg, N., Bell, J.F.,
 Merline, W., Veverka, J., 1999. Inflight Calibration of the NEAR Multispectral Imager. Icarus 140,
 66–91. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1999.6118
- 579 Murchie, S.L., 1996. Spectral properties and rotational spectral heterogeneity of 433 Eros. JGRE 101,
 580 2201–2214. https://doi.org/10.1029/95JE03438
- Riner, M.A., Robinson, M.S., Eckart, J.M., Desch, S.J., 2008. Global survey of color variations on 433 Eros:
 Implications for regolith processes and asteroid environments. Icarus 198, 67–76.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2008.07.007
- Robinson, M.S., Thomas, P.C., Veverka, J., Murchie, S.L., Wilcox, B.B., 2002. Invited Review The geology
 of 433 Eros, Meteoritics & Planetary Science.
- Sierks, H., Keller, H.U., Jaumann, R., Michalik, H., Behnke, T., Bubenhagen, F., Büttner, I., Carsenty, U.,
 Christensen, U., Enge, R., Fiethe, B., Gutiérrez Marqués, P., Hartwig, H., Krüger, H., Kühne, W.,
 Maue, T., Mottola, S., Nathues, A., Reiche, K.-U., Richards, M.L., Roatsch, T., Schröder, S.E.,
 Szemerey, I., Tschentscher, M., 2011. The Dawn Framing Camera. SSRv 163, 263–327.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-011-9745-4
- 591 Tatsumi, E., Sakatani, N., Riu, L., Matsuoka, M., Honda, R., Morota, T., Kameda, S., Nakamura, T., 592 Zolensky, M., Brunetto, R., Hiroi, T., Sasaki, S., Watanabe, S., Tanaka, S., Takita, J., Pilorget, C., de 593 León, J., Popescu, M., Rizos, J.L., Licandro, J., Palomba, E., Domingue, D., Vilas, F., Campins, H., Cho, 594 Y., Yoshioka, K., Sawada, H., Yokota, Y., Hayakawa, M., Yamada, M., Kouyama, T., Suzuki, H., 595 Honda, C., Ogawa, K., Kitazato, K., Hirata, Naru, Hirata, Naoyuki, Tsuda, Y., Yoshikawa, M., Saiki, T., 596 Terui, F., Nakazawa, S., Takei, Y., Takeuchi, H., Yamamoto, Y., Okada, T., Shimaki, Y., Shirai, K., 597 Sugita, S., 2021. Spectrally blue hydrated parent body of asteroid (162173) Ryugu. NatCo 12. 598 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26071-8
- Thomas, P.C., Joseph, J., Carcich, B., Veverka, J., Clark, B.E., Bell, J.F., Byrd, A.W., Chomko, R., Robinson,
 M., Murchie, S., Prockter, L., Cheng, A., Izenberg, N., Malin, M., Chapman, C., McFadden, L.A., Kirk,
 R., Gaffey, M., Lucey, P.G., 2002. Eros: Shape, topography, and slope processes. Icarus 155, 18–37.
 https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.2001.6755
- Thomas, P.C., Robinson, M.S., 2005. Seismic resurfacing by a single impact on the asteroid 433 Eros.
 Nature 436, 366–369. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03855
- 605 Veverka, J., Robinson, M., Thomas, P., Murchie, S., Bell, J.F., Izenberg, N., Chapman, C., Harch, A., Bell,

- 606 M., Carcich, B., Cheng, A., Clark, B., Domingue, D., Dunham, D., Farquhar, R., Gaffey, M.J., Hawkins,
- 607 E., Joseph, J., Kirk, R., Li, H., Lucey, P., Malin, M., Martin, P., McFadden, L., Merline, W.J., Miller,
- 508 J.K., Owen, J., Peterson, C., Prockter, L., Warren, J., Wellnitz, D., Williams, B.G., Yeomans, D.K.,
- 609 2000. NEAR at Eros: Imaging and spectral results. Science 289, 2088–2097.
- 610 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289.5487.2088
- 511 Zuber, M.T., Smith, D.E., Cheng, A.F., Garvin, J.B., Aharonson, O., Cole, T.D., Dunn, P.J., Guo, Y., Lemoine,
- 612 F.G., Neumann, G.A., Rowlands, D.D., Torrence, M.H., 2000. The shape of 433 Eros from the NEAR-
- 613 Shoemaker Laser Rangefinder. Science 289, 2097–2101.
- 614 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289.5487.2097
- 615